

Solving PDEs Associated with Economic Models

MATTHIEU GOMEZ *

April 5, 2019

This package `EconPDEs.jl` introduces a fast and robust way to solve systems of PDEs + algebraic equations (i.e. DAEs) associated with economic models. This note details the underlying algorithm.

Difference with Achdou et al. (2016) Achdou et al. (2016) focus on quasi-linear PDEs of the form

$$0 = f_1(V) + f_2(x)\partial_x V + f_3(x)\partial_{xx} V$$

In contrast, the package solves non-linear PDEs of the form

$$0 = f_1(V) + f_2(x, \partial_x V) + f_3(x, \partial_x V)\partial_{xx} V$$

Step 1: Write Finite Difference Scheme The system of PDEs is written on a state space grid and derivatives are substituted by finite difference approximations. As in Achdou et al. (2016), first order derivatives are upwinded. This allows to naturally handle boundary conditions at the frontiers of the state space. This also tends to make the scheme monotonous.

Step 2: Solve Finite Difference Scheme Denote V the solution of the PDE and denote $F(V)$ the finite difference scheme corresponding to a model. The goal is to find V such that $F(V) = 0$. The package includes a solver especially written for these finite different schemes. This method is most similar to a method used in the fluid dynamics literature. In this context, it is called the Pseudo-Transient Continuation method, and is denoted *Ψtc*. Formal conditions for the convergence of the algorithm are given in Kelley and Keyes (1998).

To understand the intuition for the method, note that the existing literature in economics solves for V using using one of the two methods:

1. Non-linear solver. The method solves for the non-linear system $F(V) = 0$. A Newton-Raphson update takes the form

$$0 = F(y_t) + J_F(v_t)(v_{t+1} - v_t) \tag{1}$$

*I thank Valentin Haddad, Ben Moll, and Dejanir Silva for useful discussions.

The issue with this method is that it requires the initial guess to be sufficiently close to the solution.¹

2. ODE solver . The method solves for the ODE $F(V) = \dot{V}$. The solution of $F(V) = 0$ is obtained with $T \rightarrow +\infty$.² With a simple explicit Euler method, updates take the form

$$0 = F(v_t) - \frac{1}{\Delta}(v_{t+1} - y_t) \quad (2)$$

This method tends to be slow, and does not always converge, depending on the ODE chosen.

I propose to solve for V using a fully implicit Euler method. Updates take the form

$$\forall t \leq T \quad 0 = F(v_{t+1}) - \frac{1}{\Delta}(v_{t+1} - y_t)$$

Each time step now requires to solve a non-linear equation. I solve this non-linear equation using a Newton-Raphson method. These inner iterations therefore take the form

$$\forall i \leq I \quad 0 = F(y_t^i) - \frac{1}{\Delta}(y_t^i - y_t) + (J_F(v_t^i) - \frac{1}{\Delta})(y_t^{i+1} - v_t^i) \quad (3)$$

We know that the Newton-Raphson method converges if the initial guess is close enough to the solution. Since y_t converges towards v_{t+1} as Δ tends to zero, one can always choose Δ low enough so that the inner steps converge. Therefore, I adjust Δ as follows. If the inner iterations do not converge, I decrease Δ . When the inner iteration converges, I increase Δ .

The update Equation (3) can be seen as weighted average of the Newton-Raphson step Equation (1) and of the explicit Euler step Equation (2). After a few successful implicit time steps, Δ is large and therefore the algorithm becomes like Newton-Raphson. In particular, the convergence is quadratic around the solution.

The algorithm with $I = 1$ and Δ constant corresponds to [Achdou et al. \(2016\)](#). Allowing $I > 1$ and adjusting Δ are important to ensure convergence in case on non-linear PDEs.

References

Achdou, Yves, Jiequn Han, Jean-Michel Lasry, Pierre-Louis Lions, and Benjamin Moll, “Heterogeneous Agent Models in Continuous Time,” 2016. Working Paper.

Di Tella, Sebastian, “Uncertainty Shocks and Balance Sheet Recessions,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2016. Forthcoming.

¹This method is used, for instance, by [Gârleanu and Panageas \(2015\)](#)

²See, for instance, [Di Tella \(2016\)](#), [Silva \(2015\)](#).

Gârleanu, Nicolae and Stavros Panageas, “Young, Old, Conservative, and Bold: The Implications of Heterogeneity and Finite Lives for Asset Pricing,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2015, *123* (3), 670–685.

Kelley, Carl Timothy and David E Keyes, “Convergence analysis of pseudo-transient continuation,” *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 1998, *35* (2), 508–523.

Silva, Dejanir H, “The Risk Channel of Unconventional Monetary Policy,” 2015. Working Paper.